This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike License</u>. Your use of this material constitutes acceptance of that license and the conditions of use of materials on this site.



Copyright 2006, The Johns Hopkins University and W. Henry Mosley. All rights reserved. Use of these materials permitted only in accordance with license rights granted. Materials provided "AS IS"; no representations or warranties provided. User assumes all responsibility for use, and all liability related thereto, and must independently review all materials for accuracy and efficacy. May contain materials owned by others. User is responsible for obtaining permissions for use from third parties as needed.



#### PFHS 380.665 FAMILY PLANNING POLICIES AND PROGRAMS

# **Unwanted Fertility and Induced Abortion Implications for Family Planning Programs**

W. Henry Mosley

### A. The context of unwanted pregnancies and fertility (1999 estimates)

	World	LDCs	MDCs
Women of childbearing	1.38 billion	1.127 billion	0.253 billion
age			
Pregnancies	210 million (100%)	182 million (100%)	28 million (100%)
Miscarriages/stillbirths	31 million (15%)	27 million (15%)	4 million (15%)
Induced abortions	46 million (22%)	36 million (20%)	10 million (36%)
	rate 35/1000	rate 34/1000	rate 39/1000
Legal abortions	26 million	17 million	9 million
Illegal abortions	20 million	19 million	1 million
Live births	123 million (63%)	118 million (65%)	14 million (49%)
	rate 89/1000	rate 105/1000	rate 55/1000
Wanted births	99 million (47%)	89 million (49%)	10 million (36%)
Unwanted births	33 million (16%)	29 million (16%)	4 million (13%)

### B. Measures of unwanted fertility

The World Fertility Surveys (WFS) and the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) generally included questions on:

- --- desired family size
- --- whether more children are wanted or not
- --- the wanted status of the most recent (or every) birth or pregnancy
- --- the number of additional children wanted

A number of direct and indirect indicators of desired family size or wanted fertility can be derived from responses to these questions on reproductive preferences. Unfortunately several of the estimates are severely biased because of factors conditioning the types of responses including rationalization of the current situation, non-numeric responses, etc. (see Bongaarts, 1990).

### C. Demographic indicators of unwanted fertility

Three common indicators are:

- 1. The Total Wanted Fertility Rate (TWFR) constructed like a TFR by deleting all birth that were reported as unwanted or ill timed in each age group.
- 2. The percent of recent births that were wanted then, not wanted, or wanted later.
- 3. The percent of women saying that they want no more children generally tabulated according to number of living children.

# **D.** Empirical observations on unwanted fertility from developing countries (See Charts and Tables from Ross, Stover and Willard, 1999)

- 1. Relationship of TWFR to TFR
- 2. Regional indicators of excessive fertility

## E. Trends in unwanted fertility with development and rising contraceptive prevalence. (Bongaarts, 1997)

Unwanted fertility *increases* with increasing socio-economic development, as desired family size diminishes, even though contraceptive prevalence increases. The reasons for this paradoxical finding are:

- 1. An increasing proportion of women wanting fewer children who therefore have a longer exposure time for risk of pregnancy.
- 2. Incomplete "preference implementation" in terms of successful contraceptive use because of economic, social and psychological obstacles.
- 3. Contraceptive failure.
- 4. Restricted access to safe abortion services
- 5. Variations in other proximate determinants (marriage, breastfeeding)

## **F. Abortion - the incidence of abortion worldwide** (Henshaw, Singh and Haas, 1999a)

- 1. Data sources
- 2. Measures of abortions rates per 1000 MWRA vs. ratio per 100 live births
- 3. Legal status and abortions performed

# G. Patterns of abortions by age, parity and marital status in different countries (Bankole, Singh and Haas, 1999)

- **H. Relationship of abortions to contraceptive use** (Henshaw, Singh and Haas, 1999b; Marston and Cleland, 2003))
- **I. Sex-selective abortion** (Junhong, 2001; Arnold, Kisher and Roy, 2002)

#### Required readings

- Bongaarts, J. Trends in unwanted childbearing in the developing world. *Studies in Family Planning* 28 (3): 267-277, 1997.
- Marston, C and Cleland, J. Relationships between contraception and abortion: a review of the evidence. *International Family Planning Perspectives* 29 (1): 6-13, 2003.
- Junhong, J. Prenatal sex determination and sex-selective abortion in rural central China. *Population and Development Review* 27 (2): 259-281, 2001.

#### References

- Agadjannian, V. Is "abortion culture" fading in the former Soviet Union? Views about abortion and contraception in Kazakhstan. *Studies in Family Planning* 33:237-248, 2002.
- Ahiadeke, C. Incidence of Induced abortion in southern Ghana. *International Family Planning Perspectives*. 27(2); 96-101, 2001.
- Ahmed MK, Rahman M, and van Ginneken, J. Induced abortion in Matlab, Bangladesh: trends and determinants. *International Family Planning Perspectives*. 24(3):128-132, 1998.
- Arnold, F, Kishor, S, Roy, TK. Sex-selective abortion in India. *Population and Development Review* 28 (4): 759-785, 2002.
- Bankole, A, Singh, S and Haas, T. Characteristics of women who obtain induced abortion: A worldwide review. *International Family Planning Perspectives* 25 (2): 68-77, 1999.
- Bongaarts, J. The Measurement of Wanted Fertility. *Research Division Working Papers No. 10.* The Population Council, NY, NY, USA, 1990.
- Bongaarts, J and Westoff, CF. The potential role of contraception in reducing abortion. *Studies in Family Planning* 31(3): 193-202, 2000.
- Dulaire, N, Leidl, P, Mackin, MA, Murphey, C and Stark, L. *Promises to Keep:*The Toll of Unintended Pregnancies on Women's Lives in the Developing World. Global Health Council, Washington, DC, 2002.
- Henshaw SK, The incidence of Induced abortion in Nigeria. *Internatonal Family Planning Perspectives* 24(4): 156-164, 1998.
- Henshaw, SK, Singh, S and Haas, T. The incidence of abortion worldwide. International Family Planning Perspectives 25 (Supplement) S30-S37, 1999a.
- Henshaw, S, Singh, S and Haas, T. Recent trends in abortion rates worldwide. International Family Planning Perspectives 25 (2): 44-48, 1999b.

- Johnson, BR, Ndhlovu, S, Farr, SL and Chipato, T. Reducing unplanned pregnancy and abortion in Zimbabwe through postabortion contraception. *Studies in Family Planning* 33: 195-202, 2002.
- Otoide, VO, Oronsaye, F, Okonofua, FE. Why Nigerian adolescents seek abortion rather than contraception: evidence from focus group discussions. *International Family Planning Perspectives* 27(2): 77-81, 2001.
- Ross, J, Stover, J and Willard, A. *Profiles for Family Planning and Reproductive Health Programs, 116 Countries.* The Futures Group International, Glaxtonbury, Connecticut, 1999.
- Senlet, P, Curtis, SI, Mathis, J and Raggers, H. The role of changes in contraceptive use in the decline of induced abortion in Turkey. *Studies in Family Planning* 32(1) 41-52, 2001.
- Senlet, P, Cagatay, L, Ergin, J and Mathis, J. Bridging the gap: integrating family planning with abortion services in Turkey. *International Family Planning Perspectives*27(2):90 –95, 2001.
- Singh S and Sedgh G. The relationship of abortion to trends in contraception and fertility in Brazil, Colombia and Mexico. *International Family Planning Perspectives* 23:4-14, 1997.
- Tsui AO, Wasserheit JN, and Haaga, J. "Intended Births." Chapter 4 in Reproductive Health in Developing Countries. Expanding Dimensions, Building Solutions. National Acdemy Press, Washington DC,1997.
- Varga, C. Pregnancy termination among South African adolescents. Studies in Family Planning 33: 283-298, 2002.
- Westoff, CF, et al. Replacement of Abortion by Contraception in Three Central Asian Republics. The Policy Project (Washington, D.C.) and Macro International (Calverton, MD), 1998.